arXiv:hep-th/9311132v1 22 Nov 1993

Quantum integrable systems and representations of Lie algebras

Pavel I. Etingof

Department of Mathematics
Yale University
New Haven, CT 06520
e-mail etingof@ pascal.math.yale.edu

Introduction

A quantum many particle system on the line with an interaction potential $U(x)$ is defined by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + K \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} U(x_i - x_j), \ K \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1}$$

Such systems were first considered by Calogero for $U(x) = x^{-2}$ [Ca] and Sutherland for $U(x) = \sinh(x)^{-2}$ [Su].

Any differential operator commuting with H is called a quantum integral of the system. One says that two quantum integrals are in involution if they commute as differential operators.

The system defined by H is called completely integrable if it has N quantum integrals in involution, $L_1, ..., L_N$, which are algebraically independent: every polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[z_1, ..., z_N]$ such that $P(L_1, ..., L_N) = 0$ is identically zero.

For $N > 2$, the system defined by H is not always completely integrable. However, for special choices of $U(x)$ it is known that it is completely integrable for any K. For example, this is proved for $U(x) = x^{-2}$ (rational case), $U(x) = \sinh(x)^{-2}$ (trigonometric case), and $U(x) = \wp(x|\tau)$, where $\wp$ is the Weierstrass elliptic function:

$$\wp(x|\tau) = \frac{1}{x^2} + \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \left[\frac{1}{(x - m - n\tau)^2} - \frac{1}{(m + n\tau)^2} \right] \tag{2}$$

(elliptic case) (see [OP] and references therein). These results come from an explicit construction of quantum integrals via the Lax matrix.

In this paper we propose a new construction of the quantum integrals for H in the trigonometric and elliptic cases using representation theory of Lie algebras, and give a new proof of the complete integrability theorem based on this construction. In the trigonometric case, we construct a homomorphism from the center of the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ to the algebra of differential operators in N variables. We show that the image of the second-order Casimir under this homomorphism is a multiple of the Sutherland operator, which implies that the images of higher Casimirs are its quantum integrals and thus proves the integrability. In the elliptic case, we apply a similar method to the center of the (completed) universal enveloping algebra of the affine $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ at the critical level $k = -N$, and thus produce quantum integrals of the Hamiltonian (1) with the elliptic potential $U = K\wp$.

If $U(x)$ is one of the above three choices, we call H a Calogero-Sutherland (CS) operator. If H is a CS operator then for any set of complex numbers Λ_i the system of differential equations

$$L_i \psi = \Lambda_i \psi, \ 1 \le i \le N \tag{3}$$

is consistent and has $N!$ linearly independent solutions. This system is called the eigenvalue problem for H. The solutions of (3) for the rational and trigonometric cases (unlike the elliptic case) have been studied in many papers [*] and are rather well understood. We will give a new construction of these eigenfunctions for the trigonometric case as normalized traces of intertwiners between representations of $\mathfrak{gl}_N$.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my advisor Professor Igor Frenkel for systematic inspiring discussions and I.Cherednik, D.Kazhdan, A.Kirillov Jr., F. Malikov, K.Styrkas, and A.Varchenko for many useful remarks and suggestions.

1. Diagonalization of the Sutherland operator

The Sutherland operator is the following differential operator:

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{K}{\sinh^2(x_i - x_j)}, \ K \in \mathbb{C} \tag{1.1}$$

The quantum integrals for this operator and their eigenfunctions (zonal spherical functions) are known [OP,HO]. In this section we will give a new description of the quantum integrals and eigenfunctions using representatin theory of $\mathfrak{gl}_N$.

Let $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ denote the Lie algebra of complex $N \times N$ matrices. If $A \in \mathfrak{gl}_N$, we will denote by A_{ij} the entry at the intersection of the i-th row and j-th column of A. Also, E_{ij} will denote the elementary matrices: $(E_{ij})_{mn} = \delta_{im}\delta_{jn}$. For brevity we denote E_{ii} by h_i.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$, and let M_λ be the Verma module over $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ with highest weight λ, i.e. the module generated by a highest weight vector v_λ satisfying the defining relation

$$Av_\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j A_{jj} v_\lambda, \tag{1.2}$$

whenever A is an upper triangular matrix. Let M_λ^* denote the restricted dual module to M_λ – the direct sum of dual spaces to the weight subspaces in M_λ with the action of $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ defined by duality.

Let $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a module V_μ over $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ as follows. As a vector space, V_μ is the space of functions of the form $f(\mathbf{x}) = (\prod_{j=1}^{m} \xi_j)^\mu p(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2}, ..., \frac{\xi_{N-1}}{\xi_N})$, where $p \in \mathbb{C}[y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_{N-1}^{\pm 1}]$ is a Laurent polynomial, and the action ϕ of $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ in V_μ is described by the formula $\phi(E_{ij}) = \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} - \mu\delta_{ij}$.

It is obvious that the set of weights of V_μ is $\{(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N : \sum \lambda_j = 0\}$, and every weight occurs with multiplicity 1.

Consider the completed tensor product $M_\lambda \hat{\otimes} V_\mu = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_\lambda^*, V_\mu)$. It has a natural structure of a $\mathfrak{gl}_N$-module.

Proposition 1.1. *If M_λ is irreducible then there exists a unique up to a factor nonzero intertwining operator $\Phi_\lambda : M_\lambda \to M_\lambda \hat{\otimes} V_\mu$.*

Proof. We need to prove that the module $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_\lambda^*, V_\mu)$ contains a singular vector w of weight λ (a vector satisfying relation (1.2)), and that such a vector is

unique. Since w_λ is invariant under the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}^+$ of strictly upper triangular matrices, it is uniquely determined by its value on the lowest weight vector v^*_λ of the module M^*_λ. Because M_λ is irreducible, $M^*_\lambda = U(\mathfrak{n}^+)v^*_\lambda$, and therefore $w_\lambda(v^*_\lambda)$ can be any zero weight vector in V_μ. Since the zero weight subspace of V_μ is one dimensional, the vector w_λ exists and is unique up to a factor, Q.E.D. ■

We fix the normalization of the operator Φ_λ under which $< v^*_\lambda, \Phi v_\lambda >= \prod \xi^\mu_j \in V_\mu$.

Let $\rho = (\rho_1, ..., \rho_N),\ \rho_j = \frac{N+1}{2} - j$. Consider the function of N variables

$$\Psi_\lambda(z_1, ..., z_N) = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\mid_{M_\lambda}(\Phi_\lambda z_1^{h_1} \dots z_N^{h_N})}{\mathrm{Tr}\mid_{M_{-\rho}}(z_1^{h_1} \dots z_N^{h_N})}. \tag{1.3}$$

This function takes values in V_μ and has weight zero, hence

$$\Psi_\lambda = \psi_\lambda \prod \xi^\mu_j, \tag{1.4}$$

where ψ_λ is a complex-valued function.

Let us introduce new coordinates x_i such that $z_i = e^{2x_i}$, and regard the function ψ_λ as a function of $(x_1, ..., x_N)$

If $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^N$, let $< a, b >= \sum_{j=1}^N a_j b_j$, and $a^2 =< a, a >$. Also, for brevity we use the notation $Z = z_1^{h_1} \dots z_N^{h_N}$, and write Tr_λ for $\mathrm{Tr}\mid_{M_\lambda}$.

Theorem 1.2. *If K in formula (1.1) equals $-2\mu(\mu+1)$ then the function ψ_λ is an eigenfunction of the differential operator H defined by (1.1) with the eigenvalue $4(\lambda+\rho)^2$.*

Proof. The Casimir element

$$C_2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^N E_{ij}E_{ji} \tag{1.5}$$

acts in M_λ by multiplication by $< \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho >$. Therefore,

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda C_2 Z)}{\mathrm{Tr}_{-\rho}(Z)} =< \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho > \Psi_\lambda(z_1, ..., z_N). \tag{1.6}$$

On the other hand, the left hand side of (1.6) can be written in the form $\sum_{i,j=1}^N \Psi^{ij}_\lambda$, where

$$\Psi^{ij}_\lambda = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda E_{ij}E_{ji}Z)}{\mathrm{Tr}_{-\rho}(Z)}. \tag{1.7}$$

Let us express the terms Ψ^{ij}_λ in terms of Ψ_λ. First of all, consider the function $F(z_1, ..., z_N) = \mathrm{Tr}_{-\rho}(Z)$. This function is the character of the module $M_{-\rho}$, therefore

$$F(z_1, ..., z_N) = \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{-\rho_j} \prod_{i>j} \left(1 - \frac{z_i}{z_j}\right)^{-1} = \frac{(\prod_{j=1}^N z_j)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}{\prod_{i>j}(z_i - z_j)},$$

$$\frac{z_i}{F}\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_i} = \left(\frac{N-1}{2} - \sum \frac{z_i}{z_i - z_j}\right). \tag{1.8}$$

We consider two cases.

1) $i=j$. Then, using (1.8), we obtain

$$\Psi_\lambda^{ii}=\frac{1}{F}\left(z_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\right)^2(F\Psi_\lambda)=$$

$$\left(z_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\right)^2\Psi_\lambda+\left((N-1)z_i-\sum_{j\neq i}\frac{2z_i^2}{z_i-z_j}\right)\frac{\partial\Psi_\lambda}{\partial z_i}+\frac{\left(z_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\right)^2F}{F}\Psi_\lambda. \tag{1.9}$$

2) $i\neq j$. Then we have

$$\Psi_\lambda^{ij}=F^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda E_{ij}E_{ji}Z)=$$
$$F^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(E_{ij}\Phi_\lambda E_{ji}Z)+F^{-1}E_{ij}\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda E_{ji}Z)=$$
$$F^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda E_{ji}ZE_{ij})+F^{-1}E_{ij}\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda E_{ji}Z)=$$
$$\frac{z_i}{z_j}F^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda E_{ji}E_{ij}Z)+F^{-1}E_{ij}\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda E_{ji}Z)=$$
$$\frac{z_i}{z_j}F^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda E_{ij}E_{ji}Z)+\frac{z_i}{z_j}F^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda(E_{jj}-E_{ii})Z)$$
$$+F^{-1}E_{ij}\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda E_{ji}Z)=$$
$$\frac{z_i}{z_j}\Psi_\lambda^{ij}+F^{-1}\frac{z_i}{z_j}\left(z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}-z_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\right)(F\Psi_\lambda)+F^{-1}E_{ij}\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda E_{ji}Z). \tag{1.10}$$

Formula (1.10) is a linear equation on Ψ_λ^{ij}. Solving this equation, we obtain

$$\Psi_\lambda^{ij}=F^{-1}\frac{z_i}{z_j-z_i}\left(z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}-z_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\right)(F\Psi_\lambda)+\frac{F^{-1}z_j}{z_j-z_i}E_{ij}\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda E_{ji}Z). \tag{1.11}$$

It remains to compute $\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda E_{ji}Z)$. We have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda E_{ji}Z)=\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(E_{ji}\Phi_\lambda Z)+E_{ji}\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda Z)=\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda ZE_{ji})+FE_{ji}\Psi_\lambda=$$
$$\frac{z_j}{z_i}\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda E_{ji}Z)+FE_{ji}\Psi_\lambda, \tag{1.12}$$

which implies

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda E_{ji}Z)=\frac{z_i}{z_i-z_j}FE_{ji}\Psi_\lambda. \tag{1.13}$$

Combining (1.11) and (1.13), we deduce

$$\Psi_\lambda^{ij}=F^{-1}\frac{z_i}{z_j-z_i}\left(z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}-z_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\right)(F\Psi_\lambda)-\frac{z_iz_j}{(z_i-z_j)^2}E_{ij}E_{ji}\Psi_\lambda. \tag{1.14}$$

It is easy to see that the operator $E_{ij}E_{ji}$ acts in the zero weight subspace of V_μ by multiplication by $\mu(\mu+1)$. Therefore, (1.14) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\Psi_\lambda^{ij}=\frac{z_i}{z_j-z_i}\left(z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}-z_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\right)\Psi_\lambda$$
$$+\left[\frac{z_i}{z_j-z_i}\left(z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}-z_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\right)\log F-\mu(\mu+1)\frac{z_iz_j}{(z_i-z_j)^2}\right]\Psi_\lambda. \tag{1.15}$$

Now, summing up equations (1.9) for all i and equations (1.14) for all $i \neq j$, and using (1.8) and the identity

$$\sum_{i\neq j} \frac{z_i}{z_j - z_i}\left(z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - z_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\right) = \sum_{i\neq j}\left(\frac{2z_i^2}{z_i - z_j} - (N-1)z_i\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \tag{1.16}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda C_2 Z)}{\mathrm{Tr}_{-\rho}(Z)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(z_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\right)^2 \Psi_\lambda +$$

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\left(z_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\right)^2 F}{F} - 2\sum_{i=1}^N\left(\frac{z_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}F}{F}\right)^2 - 2\sum_{i<j}\mu(\mu+1)\frac{z_i z_j}{(z_i - z_j)^2}\right]\Psi_\lambda. \tag{1.17}$$

From formula (1.8) it follows that

$$F^{-1}(z_1, ..., z_N) = \det(z_i^{-\rho_j}). \tag{1.18}$$

Using this identity, we find

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left(z_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\right)^2 F^{-1} =< \rho, \rho > F^{-1}. \tag{1.19}$$

Substituting (1.19) into (1.17), we get

(1.20)

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda C_2 Z)}{\mathrm{Tr}_{-\rho}(Z)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(z_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\right)^2 \Psi_\lambda - < \rho, \rho > \Psi_\lambda - 2\sum_{i<j}\mu(\mu+1)\frac{1}{\frac{z_i}{z_j} + \frac{z_j}{z_i} - 2}\Psi_\lambda.$$

Rewriting equation (1.20) in the new variables x_i such that $z_i = e^{2x_i}$, we obtain

(1.21)

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}_\lambda(\Phi_\lambda C_2 Z)}{\mathrm{Tr}_{-\rho}(Z)} = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2\Psi_\lambda}{\partial x_i^2} - < \rho, \rho > \Psi_\lambda - \frac{\mu(\mu+1)}{2}\sum_{i<j}\frac{1}{\sinh^2(x_i - x_j)}\Psi_\lambda.$$

Comparing (1.6) and (1.21), we finally get

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2\psi_\lambda}{\partial x_i^2} - 2\mu(\mu+1)\sum_{i<j}\frac{1}{\sinh^2(x_i - x_j)}\psi_\lambda = 4(\lambda+\rho)^2\psi_\lambda. \tag{1.22}$$

The theorem is proved. ■

2. Quantum integrals of the Sutherland operator

Let us now find the quantum integrals of the Sutherland operator. For this purpose we will use the higher Casimir elements of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_N$.

Let Y be any element of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$. Then we can consider the following V_μ-valued function:

$$\Psi_\lambda(Y|z_1, ..., z_N) = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\mid_{M_\lambda}(\Phi_\lambda Y z_1^{h_1}\dots z_N^{h_N})}{\mathrm{Tr}\mid_{M_{-\rho}}(z_1^{h_1}\dots z_N^{h_N})}. \tag{2.1}$$

Proposition 2.1. *There exists a differential operator $\mathcal{L}_Y$ in $z_1, ..., z_N$ whose coefficients are $U(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$-valued functions, such that*

$$\Psi_\lambda(Y|z_1, ..., z_N) = \mathcal{L}_Y \Psi_\lambda(z_1, ..., z_N). \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. We say that Y is of order $\leq m$ if it is a sum of monomials including $\leq m$ factors from $\mathfrak{gl}_N$. The proof is by induction in the order of Y. For order 0 the statement is obvious. If Y is of a positive order m then we may assume that Y is a monomial of the form $Y = XE_{ij}$. Since X is a monomial of a lower order than Y, we may assume that the operator $\mathcal{L}_X$ is already defined.

Again, we consider two cases. If $i = j$ then

$$\Psi_\lambda(Y|z_1, ..., z_N) = F^{-1} z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}(F\Psi_\lambda(X|z_1, ..., z_N)), \tag{2.3}$$

so we can set $\mathcal{L}_Y = F^{-1} \circ z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \circ F \circ \mathcal{L}_X$.

If $i \neq j$ then let $U = [X, E_{ij}]$. Clearly, the order of U is $\leq m - 1$, so we can assume that $\mathcal{L}_U$ has been defined. Then we have

$$\Psi_\lambda(Y) = \Psi_\lambda(E_{ij}X) + \Psi_\lambda(U) = E_{ij}\Psi_\lambda(X) + \frac{z_i}{z_j}\Psi_\lambda(Y) + \mathcal{L}_U\Psi_\lambda =$$
$$(E_{ij}\mathcal{L}_X + \mathcal{L}_U)\Psi_\lambda + \frac{z_i}{z_j}\Psi_\lambda(Y), \tag{2.4}$$

which implies

$$\Psi_\lambda(Y) = \frac{z_j}{z_j - z_i}(E_{ij}\mathcal{L}_X + \mathcal{L}_U)\Psi_\lambda. \tag{2.5}$$

This proves the step of induction Q.E.D. ■

Let $Y \in U(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ be of weight zero, i.e. such that $[h_i, Y] = 0$ for all i. Then $\Psi_\lambda(Y|z_1, ..., z_N) = \psi_\lambda(Y|x_1, ..., x_N) \prod_{i=1}^N \xi_i^\mu$, where ψ is a complex-valued function.

Proposition 2.2. *If Y is of weight zero then there exists a unique scalar-valued differential operator D_Y in the variables $x_1, ..., x_N$ whose coefficients are rational functions of e^{2x_i}, $1 \leq i \leq N$ such that*

$$\psi_\lambda(Y|x_1, ..., x_N) = D_Y \psi_\lambda(x_1, ..., x_N) \tag{2.6}$$

for a generic λ.

Proof. If Y is of weight 0 then $\mathcal{L}_Y$ preserves weight, so its coefficients map the zero weight subspace in V_μ to itself. Since the zero weight subspace is one-dimensional, the coefficients of $\mathcal{L}_Y$ become scalars when restricted to this space. In this way the operator $\mathcal{L}_Y$ turns into a scalar differential operator D_Y which satisfies (2.6).

To establish the uniqueness, it is enough to prove the following:

Lemma 2.3. Any differential operator D annihilating ψ_λ for a generic λ whose coefficients are rational functions in $z_i = e^{2x_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq N$ must be identically equal to 0.

Proof. Since the functions ψ_λ are homogeneous in $z_1, ..., z_N$, any homogeneous component of D also annihilates them. Therefore, we may assume that D is homogeneous. We can also assume that D is of degree 0 (otherwise we can multiply it by a suitable power of z_1) and that its coefficients are polynomials in $y_i = z_i/z_{i+1}$ (otherwise we can multiply D by the common denominator of its coefficients). Let us write D in the form

$$D = \sum_{r_j \geq 0} y_1^{r_1} \dots y_{N-1}^{r_{N-1}} D_{r_1,...,r_{N-1}}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $D_{r_1,...,r_{N-1}} = p_{r_1,...,r_{N-1}}(\frac{\partial}{dx_1}, ..., \frac{\partial}{\partial x_N}$, where $p_{r_1,...,r_{N-1}}$ are polynomials. Let k be the smallest integer for which there exist $r_1, ..., r_{N-1}$ with $\sum r_j = k$ such that $D_{r_1,...,r_{N-1}} \neq 0$. Since $\psi_l \in \prod e^{2x_i(\lambda_j+\rho_j)}\mathbb{C}[[y_1, ..., y_{N-1}]]$, for this set of r_j we have

$$D_{r_1,...,r_{N-1}} e^{<2\mathbf{x},\lambda+\rho>} = 0,\ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_N). \tag{2.8}$$

This implies that $p_{r_1,...,r_{N-1}}(2(\lambda + \rho)) = 0$ for a generic λ, which means that $p_{r_1,...,r_{N-1}}$ is identically zero – a contradiction. This completes the proof of the lemma and the proposition. ■

It is well known that the center of $U(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ is freely generated by the Casimir elements

$$C_m = \sum_{j_1,...,j_m=1}^{N} E_{j_1 j_2} \dots E_{j_{m-1} j_m} E_{j_m j_1},\ 1 \leq m \leq N. \tag{2.9}$$

Define the differential operators

$$L_j = D_{C_j},\ 1 \leq j \leq N \tag{2.10}$$

Proposition 2.4.

(i) $L_1 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$, $L_2 = \frac{H}{4} + < \rho, \rho >$.

(ii) For any $1 \leq j \leq N$ *the function* ψ_λ *is an eigenfunction of* L_j *with an eigenvalue* $p_j(\lambda + \rho)$*, where* p_j *is a symmetric polynomial of degree* j*.*

(iii) $[L_i, L_j] = 0$ *for all* i, j*.*

(iv) The symbol of L_m *is* $2^{-m}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x_i^m}$*.*

(v) The operators L_j *and the polynomials* p_j *are algebraically independent.*

(vi) The operators L_i *are invariant under permutations of* $x_1, ..., x_N$*.*

Proof. (i) The formula for L_1 is obvious. The formula for L_2 follows from Theorem 1.2.

(ii) The element C_i acts in M_λ by multiplication by a scalar polynomially dependent on λ. This scalar is a symmetric polynomial in $\lambda + \rho$, since the action of C_i is the same in M_λ and $M_{\sigma(\lambda+\rho)-\rho}$ for any permutation σ (proof: the first module contains the second one when λ is a dominant integral weight, and any two polynomials coinciding on dominant integral weights coincide identically). Denote this symmetric polynomial by p_i. Then (2.10) implies that $L_i\psi_\lambda = p_i(\lambda + \rho)\psi_\lambda$.

(iii) Statement (ii) implies that $[L_i, L_j]\psi_\lambda = 0$ for a generic λ. According to

(iv) It is easy to show that

$$C_m = \sum_{j=1}^{N} h_j^m + \sum_{i<j} X_{ij} E_{ij} + Y, \tag{2.11}$$

where X_{ij}, Y are elements of $U(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ of order $\leq m-1$. This fact combined with the proof of Proposition 2.1 shows that the symbol of L_m will be the same as the symbol of $D_{\sum_{j=1}^N h_j^m}$ (since the rest of the terms in (2.11) will give lower order contributions), i.e. it will equal $2^{-m}\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x_i^m}$.

(v) Since the symbols of L_j are algebraically independent (they are elementary symmetric functions), so are L_j themselves. Therefore, so are p_j: if there existed a nonzero polynomial Q such that $Q(p_1, ..., p_N)$ is identically zero, then $Q(L_1, ..., L_N)$ would annihilate ψ_λ for a generic λ, which, by Lemma 2.3, would mean that this operator is zero, so that from the algebraic independence of L_j one gets $Q = 0$.

(vi) Let σ be any transposition. Then for any Y one has $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma Y \sigma^{-1}} = \sigma \mathcal{L}_Y^\sigma \sigma^{-1}$, where $\mathcal{L}_Y^\sigma$ is defined by the formula:

$$(\mathcal{L}^\sigma f)(x_{\sigma(1)}, ..., x_{\sigma(N)}) = \mathcal{L} f(x_{\sigma(1)}, ..., x_{\sigma(N)}). \tag{2.12}$$

Therefore, $D_{\sigma Y \sigma^{-1}} = D_Y^\sigma$. On the other hand, $\sigma C_m \sigma^{-1} = C_m$, which implies that $L_m^\sigma = L_m$. ■

We can now consider the eigenvalue problem (3) associated with the collection of operators $\{L_j\}$. Recall that this problem is to find a basis of the $N!$-dimensional space of solutions of the system of differential equations $L_i\psi = \Lambda_i\psi$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, where Λ_i are some given complex numbers.

Proposition 2.5. *Let λ be a solution of the system of algebraic equations*

$$p_i(\lambda + \rho) = \Lambda_i, \ 1 \leq i \leq N. \tag{2.13}$$

Suppose that $\lambda_i + \rho_i - \lambda_j - \rho_j$ is not an integer for any $i \neq j$. Then the functions $\{\psi_{\sigma(\lambda+\rho)-\rho}, \ \sigma \in S_N\}$, (where S_N is the symmetric group) form a basis in the space of solutions of system (3).

Proof. First of all, all the functions $\{\psi_{\sigma(\lambda+\rho)-\rho}\}$ are defined since all the modules $M_{\sigma(\lambda+\rho)-\rho}$ are irreducible. Next, the weights $\sigma(\lambda+\rho)-\rho$ are different for different σ, hence the functions $\{\psi_{\sigma(\lambda+\rho)-\rho}\}$ are linearly independent (this follows from the asymptotics $\psi_\lambda \sim \prod z_j^{\lambda_j+\rho_j}$, $z_i/z_{i+1} \to 0, 1 \leq i \leq N-1$). And finally, all the functions $\{\psi_{\sigma(\lambda+\rho)-\rho}\}$ are solutions of (3): ψ_λ is a solution of (3) by the definition of λ, and the rest are solutions because the operators L_i and the polynomials p_i are symmetric and therefore $\lambda^\sigma = \sigma(\lambda+\rho)-\rho$ satisfies (2.13) for any $\sigma \in S_N$. ■

Remark. In 1976 I.Frenkel computed the Laplace's operator in the space of functions on $(SU(N) \times SU(N))/SU(N)_{diag}$ with values in a symmetric power of the fundamental representation of $SU(N)$ equivariant with respect to the left diagonal action of $SU(N)$, and found that it was equal to the Sutherland operator (with sin instead of sinh) and $K = -2m(m+1)$, where m is an integer (I.Frenkel, unpublished work). Proposition 2.5 can be regarded as a generalization of this result.

3. Affine Lie algebras, vertex operators, correlation functions, and regular expressions

Recall the definition of affine Lie algebras.

The affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_N}$ is defined as follows: as a vector space $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_N} = \mathfrak{gl}_N \otimes \mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c$, and the commutator is given by

$$[A(t)+\alpha c, B(t)+\beta c] = [A(t),B(t)] + \mathrm{Res}_{t=0}(t^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}(A'(t)B(t))), \tag{3.1}$$

where $A(t)$, $B(t)$ are matrix-valued trigonometric polynomials.

The principal gradation on $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_N}$ assigns degree $j-i+Nm$ to the element $E_{ij}t^m$, and degree zero to c. The degree of an element a is denoted by $\deg(a)$.

Define the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_N}$ to be the Lie algebra of all elements $A(t)+\alpha c \in \widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_N}$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}(A(t))$ is a constant (does not depend on t). This algebra is isomorphic to the direct sum of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_N}$ and the one-dimensional abelian Lie algebra. It inherits the grading from $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}_N}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}_0^{\pm}$ the subalgebras in $\mathfrak{g}_0$ spanned by the elements of positive (respectively negative) degree.

We will work with two kinds of modules over $\mathfrak{g}_0$ – Verma modules and evaluation modules.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and V be a $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module. We say that a nonzero vector $v \in V$ is of weight λ if $h_i v = \lambda_i v$. We say that a nonzero element $a \in U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ is of weight λ if $[h_i, a] = \lambda_i a$.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and $k \in \mathbb{C}$. The Verma module $M_{\lambda,k}$ over $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is defined by a single generator $v_{\lambda,k}$ and the relations:

$$(A(t)+\alpha c)v_{\lambda,k} = (\sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{jj}(0)\lambda_j + \alpha k)v_{\lambda,k},\ A(t)+\alpha c \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \tag{3.2}$$

if $A(t)$ is regular at $t=0$, and $A(0)$ is upper triangular. This module is a highest weight module which is irreducible for generic λ and k.

The principal gradation operator ∂ on $M_{\lambda,k}$ is defined by the relations:

$$\partial v_{\lambda,k} = 0,\ [\partial, a] = na \text{ if } a \in \mathfrak{g}_0,\ \deg(a) = n. \tag{3.3}$$

A vector $w \in M_{\lambda,k}$ is called homogeneous if it is an eigenvector of ∂. We say that a vector $w \in M_{\lambda,k}$ is of degree n ($\deg(w) = n$) if $\partial w = nw$.

It is easy to check that the only vectors of degree 0 in $M_{\lambda,k}$ are multiples of $v_{\lambda,k}$, and the rest of homogeneous vectors have negative degrees. Also, the space of vectors of a fixed degree n is finite dimensional. This space is called the homogeneous subspace of degree n, and denoted by $M_{\lambda,k}[n]$.

We will need to work with the completion $\hat{M}_{\lambda,k}$ of the Verma module $M_{\lambda,k}$ with respect to the principal gradation. $\hat{M}_{\lambda,k}$ is defined as the space of infinite sums of the form $\sum_{n\le 0} w_n$, where w_n is a vector of degree n. Clearly, the action of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ in $M_{\lambda,k}$ naturally extends to $\hat{M}_{\lambda,k}$.

Define also the restricted dual module $M'_{\lambda,k}$ to $M_{\lambda,k}$ – the direct sum of the dual spaces to the homogeneous subspaces in $M_{\lambda,k}$, with the action of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ defined by duality. This module is a lowest weight module. Denote its lowest weight vector by $v'_{\lambda,k}$. It is obvious that $\hat{M}_{\lambda,k}$ is the (usual) dual module to $M'_{\lambda,k}$.

Now let us define evaluation modules. Let $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Define the module V_μ over $\mathfrak{g}_0$ to be the pullback of the module V_μ over $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ defined in Section 1 with respect to the evaluation map $\pi : \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathfrak{gl}_N$ given by $\pi(A(t) + \alpha c) = A(1)$

Now let us introduce vertex operators. Throughout this paper by a vertex operator we mean a $\mathfrak{g}_0$- intertwining operator $\Phi : M_{\lambda,k} \to M_{\lambda,k}\hat{\otimes}V_\mu$, where $M_{\lambda,k}\hat{\otimes}V_\mu$ denotes the space of formal sums of the form $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j \otimes v_j$, $u_j \in M_{\lambda,k}[-j]$, $v_j \in V_\mu$.

Proposition 3.1. *If $M_{\lambda,k}$ is irreducible then there exists a unique nonzero vertex operator up to a scalar factor.*

Proof. In order to construct Φ, we first need to construct the vector $w = \Phi v_{\lambda,k} \in M_{\lambda,k}\hat{\otimes}V_\mu$. This vector has to be annihilated by the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0^+$ and satisfy the condition $h_i w = \lambda_i w$. To construct such a vector is the same as to construct a map $w : M'_{\lambda,k} \to V_\mu$ which commutes with the action of $\mathfrak{g}_0^+$ and maps $v'_{\lambda,k}$ to a zero weight vector. Since $M_{\lambda,k}$ is irreducible, $M'_{\lambda,k}$ is freely generated by $v'_{\lambda,k}$ over $U(\mathfrak{g}_0^+)$, which implies that the map w is uniquely determined once we know the image of $v'_{\lambda,k}$ under this map. Because the space of zero weight vectors in V_μ is one-dimensional, w is defined uniquely up to a factor.

Finally, since Φ is an intertwiner, and $M_{\lambda,k}$ is freely generated by $v_{\lambda,k}$ over $U(\mathfrak{g}_0^-)$, Φ is uniquely determined once we know the image of $v_{\lambda,k}$ under it, i.e. once we know w. Therefore, Φ is unique up to a factor. ■

Let $A : M_{\lambda,k} \to \hat{M}_{\lambda,k}$ be any linear operator. It is obvious that we can uniquely represent the operator A as an infinite sum

$$A = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} A[m], \tag{3.4}$$

where $A[m] = \oplus_j A[m]_j$, and $A[m]_j : M_{\lambda,k}[j] \to M_{\lambda,k}[j+m]$ are linear operators: for a homogeneous vector u of degree j in $M_{\lambda,k}$ the vector $A[m]_j u$ is defined as the degree $j+m$ component of Au.

Let z_j, $1 \le j \le N$, and q be formal variables. Let $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, ..., z_N)$. Then we can consider the formal series

$$F_{\lambda,k}(A, \mathbf{z}, q) = \mathrm{Tr}\mid_{M_{\lambda,k}} (Aq^{-\partial}\prod_{j=1}^{N} z_j^{h_j}) = \sum_{m\ge 0} q^m \mathrm{Tr}\mid_{M_{\lambda,k}[m]} (A[0]_m \prod_{j=1}^{N} z_j^{h_j}). \tag{3.5}$$

Every coefficient of this formal series is a function of the form $\prod_{j=1}^{N} z_j^{\lambda_j} \cdot p(\frac{z_1}{z_2}, ..., \frac{z_{N-1}}{z_N})$, where p is a Laurent polynomial.

Now let us define the (modified) expectation value (or 1-point correlation function) of an operator A as follows:

$$< A >_{\lambda,k} (\mathbf{z}) = \frac{F_{\lambda,k}(A, \mathbf{z}, q)}{F_{-\rho,k}(\mathrm{Id}, \mathbf{z}, q)},\ \rho = (\rho_1, ..., \rho_N),\ \rho_j = \frac{N+1}{2} - j. \tag{3.6}$$

Then $< A >_{\lambda,k} \in \mathbb{C}[(z_1/z_2)^{\pm 1}, ..., (z_{N-1}/z_N)^{\pm 1}][[q]]$ for any A.

Let $\theta : V_\mu \to \mathbb{C}$ be a zero weight linear function. This function is unique up to a factor. Let the operator $\Phi_0 : M_{\lambda,k} \to \hat{M}_{\lambda,k}$ be defined by the condition: $\Phi_0 u = (\mathrm{Id} \otimes \theta)(\Phi u)$, $u \in M_{\lambda,k}$. The main object of our study will be the 1-point correlation function of this operator:

Finally, let us define the algebra of regular expressions, $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathrm{reg}}$.

Let $X_\pm(j)$ be an eigenbasis for $\{h_i\}$ and ∂ in $\mathfrak{g}_0^\pm$, respectively, such that the eigenvalue of ∂ on $X_\pm(j)$ is a monotonic function of j. Let us call an expression of the form

$$Y = X_-(m)^{n_m} \dots X_-(1)^{n_1} h_1^{p_1} \dots h_N^{p_N} X_+(1)^{r_1} \dots X_+(s)^{r_s} c^M \in U(\mathfrak{g}_0) \tag{3.8}$$

a standard monomial. Let us call the number

$$\mathrm{ord}(Y) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} n_j + \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j + \sum_{j=1}^{s} r_j + M \tag{3.9}$$

the order of the standard monomial Y, and the number

$$\mathrm{sdeg}(Y) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} r_j \deg(X_j^+) \tag{3.10}$$

the subsidiary degree of Y. According to the Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, the standard monomials form a basis in $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$.

Definition. *1) A sum $\sum_{m=1}^{r} b_m Y_m$, where $b_m \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and Y_m are distinct standard monomials, is called a regular expression of order $\leq n$ if ord$(Y_m) \leq n$ for all m.*

2) A series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m Y_m$, where $b_m \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and Y_m are distinct standard monomials, is called a regular expression of order $\leq n$ if

(i) ord$(Y_m) \leq n$ for all $m \geq 1$;

(ii) $\lim_{m\to\infty}$ sdeg$(Y_m) = \infty$.

3) A regular expression is of order n if it is of order $\leq n$ but not of order $\leq n-1$.

It is easy to show that regular expressions form an associative algebra. This algebra is a completion of $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ and is denoted by $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathrm{reg}}$.

The main property of the algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathrm{reg}}$ is that the action of $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ in Verma modules over $\mathfrak{g}_0$ can be naturally extended to $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathrm{reg}}$: if $R = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m Y_m$ is a regular expression then for any $w \in M_{\lambda,k}$ $Rw = \sum_{m=1}^{n} b_m Y_m w$ if n is large enough.

4. The mapping χ

Let $\mathcal{DO}_N$ be the algebra of differential operators in $z_1, ..., z_N$ with Laurent polynomial coefficients (over $\mathbb{C}$). Let $DO_N = \mathcal{DO}_N[[q]]$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{End}(V_\mu) \otimes DO_N$.

We will consider expressions of the form $< \Phi Y >_{\lambda,k}$, where Y is a linear combination of standard monomials. Such expressions are (formal) functions of $\mathbf{z}, q$ with values in V_μ. For the sake of brevity, we will write $< A >$ instead of $< A >_{\lambda,k}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let Y be a finite linear combination of standard monomials of order $\leq n$, and let k be a complex number. Then:*

1) There exists a differential operator $L_Y(k) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for all λ for which $M_{\lambda,k}$ is irreducible

$$< \Phi Y >= L_Y(k) < \Phi >, \tag{4.1}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

2) The operator $L_Y(k)$ is of order $\leq n$ as a differential operator;

3) The operator $L_Y(k)$ is a polynomial in k of degree $\leq n$;

4) If Y is a standard monomial and $sdeg(Y) = s$ then $L_Y = q^s \tilde{L}_Y$, where $\tilde{L}_Y \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. The proof of of the theorem is by induction in the order of Y. More precisely, we will construct L_Y for Y of order n from L_J for standard monomials J of lower orders.

For order 0, $Y = 1$, and we can take $L = 1$. Assume that Y is a standard monomial of order $n > 0$. Consider the last factor $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ of this monomial, so that $Y = Y'X$, where Y' is a standard monomial of order $n-1$. Since $\mathrm{ord}(Y') = n-1$, we already know that there exists an operator $L_{Y'}$ of order $\leq n-1$ which is a polynomial in k of degree $\leq n-1$, such that $< \Phi Y' >= L_{Y'} < \Phi >$.

There are three possibilities for X.

1. $X = c$. In this case we have $< \Phi Y >= k < \Phi Y' >$. Then we can set $L_Y = kL_{Y'}$. This operator satisfies (4.1). It also satisfies statements 2,3,4 of the theorem because so does $L_{Y'}$.

2. $X = X_{\pm}[j]$. In this case let $T = [Y'X]$. It is clear that T is a finite linear combination of standard monomials of order $\leq n-1$, so we may assume that the operator L_T is already defined. Then, using the intertwining property of Φ ($\Phi X = (X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X)\Phi$), we can write

(4.2)

$$< \Phi Y >=< \Phi Y'X >=< \Phi XY' > + < \Phi T >=< X\Phi Y' > + \pi(X) < \Phi Y' > + < \Phi T > .$$

On the other hand, we have

$$< X\Phi Y' >= \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\mid_{M_{\lambda,k}} (X\Phi Y' q^{-\partial} \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{h_j})}{F_{-\rho,k}} =$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\mid_{M_{\lambda,k}} (\Phi Y' q^{-\partial} \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{h_j} X)}{F_{-\rho,k}} = q^{-\deg(X)} \prod_{i=1}^N z_i^{a_i} < \Phi Y > . \tag{4.3}$$

where a_i are integers defined by $[h_i, X] = a_i X$. Relations (4.2), (4.3) show that

$$< \Phi Y >= q^{-\deg(X)} \prod_{i=1}^N z_i^{a_i} < \Phi Y > + (\pi(X) L_{Y'} + L_T) < \Phi >, \tag{4.4}$$

which implies that

$$< \Phi Y >= \frac{1}{1 - q^{-\deg(X)} \prod_{i=1}^N z_i^{a_i}} (\pi(X) L_{Y'} + L_T) < \Phi >, \tag{4.5}$$

where $\frac{1}{1-q^m x}$ denotes $\sum_{p \geq 0} q^{mp} x^p$ if $m > 0$, and $-\sum_{p \geq 1} q^{-mp} x^{-p}$ if $m < 0$. This means that the differential operator

$$L_Y = \frac{1}{1 - q^{-\deg(X)} \prod_{i=1}^N z_i^{a_i}} (\pi(X) L_{Y'} + L_T) \tag{4.6}$$

satisfies (4.1). The fact that it satisfies statements 2,3,4 follows from the validity of these statements for $L_{Y'}$ and L_T.

3. $X = h_i$. Then we have

$$< \Phi Y >= \frac{z_i}{F_{-\rho,k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}(F_{-\rho,k} < \Phi Y' >), \tag{4.7}$$

which implies that the operator

$$L_Y = \frac{z_i}{F_{-\rho,k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \cdot F_{-\rho,k} L_{Y'} \tag{4.8}$$

satisfies (4.1). The fact that it also satisfies statements 2,3,4, as before, follows from the validity of these statements for $L_{Y'}$.

The theorem is proved. ■

Theorem 4.2. *Let Y be a regular expression of order $\leq n$ and weight 0 (i.e commuting with h_i for all i), and let k be a complex number ($k \notin \mathbb{Q}$). Then:*

1) There exists a unique differential operator $D_Y(k) \in DO_N$ such that for all λ for which $M_{\lambda,k}$ is irreducible

$$< \Phi_0 Y >= D_Y(k) < \Phi_0 >, \tag{4.9}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

2) The operator $D_Y(k)$ is of order $\leq n$ as a differential operator;

3) The operator $D_Y(k)$ is a polynomial in k of degree $\leq n$.

4) If Y is a standard monomial and $sdeg(Y) = s$ then $D_Y = q^s \tilde{D}_Y$, where $\tilde{D}_Y \in \mathcal{D}O_N$.

Proof. First assume that Y is a finite linear combination of standard monomials. Since Y is of weight 0, the operator L_Y preserves weight in V_μ. Let D_Y denote the restriction of L_Y to the zero weight subspace in V_μ. Obviously, $D_Y \in DO_N$, and it satisfies properties 2,3,4. In particular, because it satisfies property 4, the definition of D_Y can be extended to infinite regular expressions: if $Y = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m Y_m$, where Y_m are standard monomials, then we can set

$$D_Y = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m D_{Y_m}, \tag{4.10}$$

and this series will be convergent as a formal series in q since any fixed power of q occurs in only a finite number of its terms.

It remains to prove that D_Y satisfying (4.9) is unique for any Y. Suppose $D_Y^{(1)}$ and $D_Y^{(2)}$ both satisfy (4.9), and let $D = D_Y^{(1)} - D_Y^{(2)}$. Then $D < \Phi >_{\lambda,k} = 0$ for all λ. Assume that $D \neq 0$. Let $D_m q^m$, $D_m \in \mathcal{D}\mathcal{O}_N$, be the leading term in the q-expansion of D. Since $< \Phi >_{\lambda,k}|_{q=0} = \prod_{j=1}^{N} z_j^{\lambda_j - k/N}$, we have: $D_m(\prod_j z_j^{\lambda_j}) = 0$ for generic complex $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_N$. This implies that $D_m = 0$, which contradicts the assumption that D_m is the leading coefficient. Therefore, $D = 0$, and hence $D_Y^{(1)} = D_Y^{(2)}$. ■

Now we are in a position to define the mapping χ. From now on the notation A^0 will mean "the zero weight part of A (where A is a subquotient of $V(\mathfrak{s}_+)$, ...)

First we define the linear mapping $\tilde{\chi} : U(\mathfrak{g}_0)^0_{\mathrm{reg}} \to DO_N$ which acts according to the formula:

$$\tilde{\chi}(Y) = D_Y(-N). \tag{4.11}$$

It is easy to see that $D_{cY} = kD_Y$, which implies that $\tilde{\chi}$ kills the ideal $(c + N)U(\mathfrak{g}_0)^0_{\mathrm{reg}}$, and therefore descends to a mapping

$$\chi : U(\mathfrak{g}_0)^0_{\mathrm{reg}}/(c+N)U(\mathfrak{g}_0)^0_{\mathrm{reg}} \to DO_N. \tag{4.12}$$

This mapping will be the main tool in our proof of the integrability theorem.

For brevity we denote the algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathrm{reg}}/(c+N)U(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathrm{reg}}$ by U_{crit}. This notation is suggested by the physical terminology: representations of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ with $c = -N$ in which U_{crit} acts are called critical level representations.

The mapping χ has the following important property:

Lemma 4.3. *Let $C \in U_{\mathrm{crit}}$ be a central element: $[C, X] = 0$ for any $X \in U_{\mathrm{crit}}$. Then*

$$\chi(CY) = \chi(Y)\chi(C),\ Y \in U^0_{\mathrm{crit}}. \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. Let $\hat{Y}$, $\hat{C}$ be representatives of Y, C in $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)^0_{\mathrm{reg}}$. Then for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ $[\hat{C}, X] = (c + N)X'$, $X' \in U(\mathfrak{g}_0)^0_{\mathrm{reg}}$. Therefore, it follows from the construction of the operators D_Y (cf. proofs of Theorems 4.1, 4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\hat{C}\hat{Y}} < \Phi >=< \Phi\hat{C}\hat{Y} >= D_{\hat{Y}} < \Phi\hat{C} > +(k+N)E < \Phi >= \\ D_{\hat{Y}}D_{\hat{C}} < \Phi > +(k+N)E < \Phi >,\ E \in DO_N, \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

i.e. (by the uniqueness of D_Y)

$$D_{\hat{C}\hat{Y}}(k) = D_{\hat{Y}}D_{\hat{C}}(k) + (k+N)E(k). \tag{4.15}$$

Therefore,

$$D_{\hat{C}\hat{Y}}(-N) = D_{\hat{Y}}D_{\hat{C}}(-N), \tag{4.16}$$

which implies (4.13), Q.E.D. ■

Lemma 4.3 implies

Theorem 4.4. *Let Z be the center of U_{crit}. Then the linear mapping $\chi : Z \to DO_N$ is a homomorphism of algebras, and hence $\chi(Z)$ is a commutative subalgebra in DO_N.*

5. Sugawara operators and the integrability theorem

It is well known that the algebra U_{crit} has a big center Z. This center is generated by the so-called Sugawara operators. Explicit construction of these operators is very technical, but for the proof of the integrability theorem we will need a very limited amount of information about them.

Let $\xi_1, ..., \xi_{N-1}$ is any basis of the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ orthonormal with respect to the inner product $< A, B >= \text{Tr}AB$. We assume that $\xi_j t^m$, $m \neq 0$, are among the basis vectors $X_i^{\pm}$. Also, if $a \in \mathfrak{sl}_N$, we denote at^m as $a[m]$.

Sugawara operators for $\mathfrak{g}_0$ have orders $1, 2, ..., N$. We denote the Sugawara operator of order j by Ω_j. The explicit expressions for Ω_1 and Ω_2 are very simple:

$$\Omega_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i,$$

$$\Omega_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} E_{ij}E_{ji} +$$

$$2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \xi_i[-m]\xi_i[m] + 2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i \neq j} E_{ij}[-m]E_{ji}[m] \tag{5.1}$$

About higher order Sugawara operators we need to know the following:

Theorem 5.1. *([*], Proposition 3.3; see also [*]) There exist elements $T_j \in Z$, $3 \leq j \leq N$, of order j, which can be written in the following form:*

$$T_j = S_j + P_j(S_1, ..., S_{j-1}) + E_j, \ S_m = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i^m \tag{5.2}$$

where P is a polynomial and E_j contains only standard monomials of positive subsidiary degree.

Corollary 5.2. *There exist degree zero elements $\Omega_j \in Z$ $3 \leq j \leq N$, of order j, which have the following form:*

$$\Omega_j = S_j + E'_j, \tag{5.3}$$

where E'_j contains only standard monomials of positive subsidiary degree.

Proof. Define the elements $T_j^{(1)}$ of Z as follows:

$$T_j^{(1)} = T_j - P_j(T_1, ..., T_{j-1}). \tag{5.4}$$

Then we have

$$T_j^{(1)} = S_j + P_j^{(1)}(S_1, ..., S_{j-2}) + E_j^{(1)}, \tag{5.5}$$

where $P_j^{(1)}$ is a polynomial, and all standard monomials in $E_j^{(1)}$ have positive subsidiary degree.

Next, define $T_j^{(2)} \in Z$ by:

$$T_j^{(2)} = T_j^{(1)} - P_j(T_1^{(1)}, ..., T_{j-1}^{(1)}). \tag{5.6}$$

Then we have

$$T_j^{(2)} = S_j + P_j^{(1)}(S_1, ..., S_{j-3}) + E_j^{(2)}, \tag{5.7}$$

where $P_j^{(2)}$ is a polynomial, and all standard monomials in $E_j^{(2)}$ have positive subsidiary degree.

Continuing this procedure, we will get to the $j-1$-th step, and define $T_j^{(j-1)}$, which will have the decomposition $S_j + E_j^{(j-1)}$. Therefore, we can set $\Omega_j = T_j^{(j-1)}$, Q.E.D. ■

Let us now assume that z_j, q are complex numbers such that $z_j \neq 0$, $0 < |q| < 1$, and introduce new variables $x_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{C}^+$ such that $e^{2\pi i x_j} = z_j$, $e^{2\pi i \tau/N} = q$. For the proof of the integrability theorem we need one more result:

Theorem 5.3.

$$\chi(\Omega_2) = -\frac{\hat{H}}{4\pi^2} + const, \tag{5.8}$$

where $\hat{H}$ is defined by the formula

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} - 2\mu(\mu+1) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \wp(x_i - x_j - \frac{j-i}{N}\tau|\tau). \tag{5.9}$$

Proof. It is shown in [EK, Proposition 4.2] that $\psi_{\lambda,k}$ satisfies the following parabolic differential equation:

$$-4\pi^2(k+N)q\frac{\partial \psi_{\lambda,k}}{\partial q} = (\hat{H} + c)\psi_{\lambda,k}, \tag{5.10}$$

where c is a constant. But we know that $(k+N)q\frac{\partial \psi_{\lambda,k}}{\partial q} =< \Phi_0(k+N)\partial >=< \Phi_0\Omega_2 >= D_{\Omega_2} < \Phi_0 >= D_{\Omega_2}\psi_{\lambda,k}$, which implies that $D_{\Omega_2} = (4\pi^2)^{-1}\hat{H} + \text{const}$, Q.E.D.

Now we are ready to prove the complete integrability theorem for the elliptic case.

Theorem 5.4. *([OP]) The Hamiltonian H given by (1) with $U = K\wp$ is completely integrable.*

Proof. . Let μ be such that $K = -2\mu(mu+1)$. Consider the differential operators D_{Ω_1},...,D_{Ω_N}. They are pairwise commutative, and, thanks to Corollary 5.2,

$$D_{\Omega_j} = (2\pi \subset)^{-j} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial x_j} + \text{lower order terms} \tag{5.11}$$

Let us make a change of variable $\hat{x}_j = x_j + \frac{j}{N}\tau$, and let L_j be the image of $(2\pi \subset)^j D_{\Omega_j}$ under this change of variable. Then $[L_j, L_m] = 0$ for all j, m, $L_2 = H + \text{const}$ because of Theorem 3.3, and $L_j = \sum_l \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}_l}\right)^j + \text{lower order terms}$, which implies that $\{L_j\}$ are algebraically independent. The theorem is proved.

References

[Ca] Calogero, F., *Solution of the one-dimensional n-body problem with quadratic and/or inversely quadratic pair potentials*, J. Math. Phys. **12** (1971), 419-436.

[EK] Etingof, P.I., and Kirillov Jr., A.A., *Representations of affine Lie algebras, parabolic differential equations, and Lamé functions (submitted to Duke Math. J.)*, hep-th 9310083 (1993).

[H] Hayashi, T., *Sugawara operators and Kac-Kazhdan conjecture*, Inv. Math. **94** (1988), 13-52.

[HO] Heckman, G.J., and Opdam, E.M., *Root systems and hypergeometric functions I*, Comp. Math. **64** (1987), 353-373.

[M] Malikov, F.G.,, *Special vectors in Verma modules over affine Lie algebras*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **23(1)** (1989), 66-67.

[OP] Olshanetsky, M.A., and Perelomov, A.M., *Quantum integrable systems related to Lie algebras*, Phys. Rep. **94** (1983), 313-404.

[Su] Sutherland, B., *Exact results for a quantum many-body problem in one dimension*, Phys. Rev. A5 (1972), 1372-1376.